

**The Minutes of the
Air Improvement Resources Executive/Advisory Committee Meeting
Alamo Area Council of Governments
8700 Tesoro Drive, Suite 100, San Antonio, Texas
Wednesday, March 3, 2004, 9:00 a.m.**

Executive Members

Com. Jay Millikin, Chairman, Comal Co
Mayor Patrick Heath, Mayor of Boerne
Mayor Raymond Ramirez, City of Floresville
Renee Green for Judge Nelson Wolff, Bexar County
Judge Donald Schraub, Guadalupe County
Mayor Marcy Meffert, Greater Bexar County Council of Cities
Audrey McNaughton for Councilman Chip Haass, City of San Antonio

Guests

Terri Landan, Guadalupe County
Teresa Haan, Randolph AFB
Debra Engler, SAWS
Edward Hrne, Ft. Sam Houston
Bryan Kirk, Gazette-Enterprise (Seguin)
Bill O'Connel, Wilson County News
Al Astran, San Antonio River Authority
Meghan Goode, TCEQ (telephone presence)

Air Technical Committee Members

Renee Green, Co-Chair, Bexar County
Ana Sandoval, Co-Chair, VIA Metro Transit
Ken Zigrang, TxDOT-SA
Daniel V. Cardenas, City of San Antonio
David Newman, City of San Antonio

Advisory Members

Dan Titerle, SAWS
Kevin Fareri, USAA
Commissioner John Kight, Kendall County
Aleisha Knochenhauer, Martin Marietta Materials

Stanley A. Dabney II, Alamo Cement Company
Bill England, Harmony Hills Neighborhood Association
David Castillo for Julie Brown, Texas Department of Transportation
Forrest Mims, Guadalupe County
Anna Sandoval for Todd Hemingson, VIA
Mike Riley, City of Seguin
Pete Donahoe, Holt Company

Citizens to be Heard

Mark Langford, San Antonio

AACOG Staff

Al Notzon, AACOG Executive Director
Dean Danos, AACOG Deputy Director
Peter Bella , Director Natural Resources
Steven Smeltzer, Environmental Manager
Dorothy Birch, Public Education Coordinator
Berti Vaughan, Administrative Assistant
Brenda Williams, Environmental Modeler
John Quebe, Clean Cities

I. Roll Call

Chairman Millikin called the meeting to order and asked that the roll be called. Dean Danos called the roll and declared a quorum.

II. Approval of Minutes

Chairman Millikin asked the Committee for consideration of the January 28th, 2004 minutes. Mayor Heath made the motion to adopt the minutes as published. Mayor Meffert seconded the motion. Chairman Millikin asked for discussion. There being none, he called for the vote. The motion passed unanimously.

III. Citizens to be Heard

Chairman Millikin asked for the list of citizens signed up to speak. While waiting for staff to provide the list, Chairman Millikin thanked the local county and city governmental entities involved in the decision making process on the Clean Air Plan, Early Action Compact, State Implementation Plan and Control Strategies. He praised their swift action on the city and

county votes and pointed out that seven of the eight governmental entities were unanimous in support of the committee's recommendations. He thanked AACOG staff, technical committee members, community groups, and others who worked diligently on and in support of the resolutions. He pointed out that the final document remained to get evaluated and approved by the EPA, TCEQ and other environmental groups. He said the committee would await their signatures as they moved forward in the process; signatures that would indicate they believed that the Air Executive Committee was truly interested in cleaning up the air in the greater San Antonio area. Chairman Millikin also thanked AACOG staff, acknowledging Berti Vaughan for the detailed preparation of the minutes for the Air Executive Committee.

Chairman Millikin welcomed Audrey McNaughton who was representing City Councilman Chip Haass during the meeting and thanked her for attending.

There were no citizens signed up to speak.

IV. Particulate Matter Report

Pete Bella referred the committee to the particulate matter report handout. Mr. Bella explained that the report indicated they remained well below the federal standards for air quality measurements of particulate matter. He noted that the monthly averages reflected for the annual average were in the order of less than half of the standard. He also pointed to the second standard, a 24th hour average which reflected measurements that remain well below a third of the federal standard.

V. Public Education Committee Report

Dorothy Birch reported that last season (2003) they sent out 22 air quality health alerts. There were 769 individual recipients at the end of the season, many representing large companies. During this time they added a total of 146 new recipients. This (2004) season, senior centers, childcare facilities, construction businesses, landscaping companies and school nurses are targeted for expansion of the list. As a result, Public Education Committee efforts have already topped what they did last year, with 206 new recipients. Many of them are school nurses representing more than 11,000 students. Staff will also be sending out letters to increase the number of flags that are flown on Air Quality Health Alert Days and renewing season outreach efforts in the media. Another goal is to add more air quality indexes through media and asked that elected officials be available during the next couple of weeks to ask for more coverage.

Mrs. Birch informed the committee that an evaluation process had been established on their outreach efforts, basically tracking the number of informational and promotional items that are distributed. Consequently, every time a piece of information was given out to an individual, that is an "accomplished effort". She pointed out that already in 2004 they have handed out 5,000 individual informational and promotional items. She stressed that this was completely disregarding any major media work being done.

Mrs. Birch asked everyone to look at the flyer she had handed out on the Ozone Season Kick Off Event. She reminded everyone that the ozone kick off event was scheduled on Saturday, March 27, 2004 12:00 p.m.-4:00 p.m. VIA Crossroads Park and Ride, 151 Crossroads Boulevard. She mentioned several of the scheduled activities such as: car care alley, emission checks and gas cap checks, VIA's Drive Clean Across Texas Bus, free bike tune-ups from Bike City, free disposal of used oil, antifreeze, and waste gas and the individual available children activities. She invited everyone to attend.

Chairman Millikin remarked to Audrey McNaughton that he was appreciative of Councilman Haass's discussions when they had met with the City Council on the State Implementation Plan and the Clean Air strategies. During the city council meeting Councilman Haass asked whether the local public understood what they need to do and what they shouldn't do on air quality health alert days. Chairman Millikin said it was a very critical point of view from the committee's perspective and they had attempted to work very hard to address the public's education. He praised Dorothy Birch's outreach efforts and the need to continue getting media attention to educate the public.

Bill England expressed his concern over the City of San Antonio's lack of involvement in the air quality effort. Specifically, he was concerned over the lack of city government representation. Renee Green commented that David Newman, Environmental Services Manager for the City of San Antonio was very active on the AIR Technical Committee. Mr. Newman introduced Dan Cardenas, Environmental Director for the City of San Antonio who was also in attendance. Mr. England said it was nice to know there was some representation from the City of San Antonio because the local community was a major focus of the committee's efforts. Mr. Cardenas asked to address the committee. He explained that city staff had conveyed their concern over the lack of representation to the City Council. He assured the committee that the City Council had renewed their commitment and that Councilman Chip Haass was their new representative. He also pointed out that what he found particularly comforting was that the council had responded with the questions "is this far enough" and "did we go far enough"? Mr. Cardenas said another question asked of city staff was, "what else can we do besides what we are now proposing to do under this Clean Air Plan above and beyond what is happening"? Mr. Cardenas remarked that staff told them that "everything being done at this time is basically the starting point but does not stop anybody from doing more than what is currently being proposed". Mr. Cardenas assured the committee that the council members he spoke to are concerned and that the committee could expect more of their participation. Bill England asked if it was possible that Mr. Cardenas become a member of the Air Executive Advisory Committee. Chairman Millikin replied that Mr. Cardenas was on the AIR Technical Committee.

Clean Air Plan Update

Technical Updates

i. Modeling Update

Steve Smeltzer presented a photochemical model update through a power point presentation. The presentation was also provided through a handout for the Committee's review with the following information.

Changes in Emission Inventory

- The CAMx model was updated with new EI components (latest data from UT, CAPCO, and TCEQ)
 1. Updated On-Road emissions for Austin
 2. Updated regional point source temporal allocation
 3. Updated Texas Area, non-road point emissions
 4. Updated temporal allocation of emissions in 2007
 5. Updated Austin area and non-road emissions
 6. Apply emission reductions to Lehigh Cement Kiln in Austin
 7. Updated 1999 temporal and chemical allocation to match 2007 temporal allocation
 8. Updated Victoria's Emissions
- Austin and TCEQ have continued to update Emission Inventories

Future Design Value

- 1999-2007 relative reduction factor is 0.948 for CAMS 23 (old value was 0.953)
- Calculated future design value with no additional control strategies is 84.35 (old value was 84.83)
- AACOG ozone values predicted in 2007 photochemical model match closely with University of Texas/CAPCO values

(Independent verification of results within 0.1 ppb)

Next Steps:

- Work with Valero, TxOGA and local fuel providers to assure lower Reid Vapor Pressure (RVP 7.2) during the Ozone Season
- Work with state and federal governments on pollution transport issue

Chairman Millikin mentioned that he and Judge Wolff were meeting with Valero to discuss the issue of local implementation of lower Reid Vapor Pressure during the Ozone Season.

Mr. Mims thanked Mr. Smeltzer on the “incredible good work he is doing on these models”.

Chairman Millikin mentioned that the beneficial change in the design value was something that came from TCEQ's updates.

Mayor Heath asked if at the present time during ozone season, local suppliers' RVP was at 7.8? Mr. Smeltzer replied yes. Mr. Notzon explained that it was the result of work done in the past by Mayor Peak and Judge Krier who met with the distributors to get an agreement to get it lowered. Chairman Millikin remarked that there was interest in getting the RVP lowered throughout the state. He said that there was great concern over transport in the last couple of years on the issue and a better formula was beneficial to everyone but again, there might be a cost increase across the pump.

Clean Air Plan

Report on Resolutions before EAC Signatory Government

Mr. Bella asked the committee to review the handout containing a roster of the eight local government signatories to the Early Action Compact. The document also contained the dates of votes and their final votes on the three strategies as well as the resolution of support of the proposed Draft Implementation Plan. He pointed out that at the bottom of the page was a time line for completion of the Draft Clean Air Plan, a plan that is currently being updated every couple of days by AACOG staff. He said the information could be found on the AACOG website and that there would be an opportunity to review the information during the March 8th and March 22nd Air Technical Committee Meetings. The Air Executive Committee would approve the finalization of the Implementation Plan on March 24th, the final meeting before the 31st deadline for submittal to the state and federal governments. He pointed out the statement of support from both the San Antonio Chamber of Commerce and the Metropolitan Partnership for Energy.

A. Further action and Early Action Compact requirements

Mr. Bella informed the committee that he had prepared a handout in response to questions asked by the San Antonio City Council meeting of February 12, 2004. The questions addressed were:

- I. What beyond the three strategies can be done (to improve air quality)?
- II. If we aren't showing attainment by 2007, then what happens?

III. What input to the planning process will citizens have (after the Clean Air Plan is submitted)?

Mr. Bella presented the responses as prepared in the hand out as follows:

Response to I.: There are many possible ways to improve air quality beyond the three strategies already identified in the recent Resolution.

1. Support for State Programs
 - A. Gas Can Rule: Resolution supporting coming action by the state
(**Action Item** on this agenda)
2. Work within your region to support local air quality projects
 - A. Adopt-A-School Bus, Commute Solutions, Rideshare, CPS Lawn Mower Rebate program, Clean Cities programs for alternate fuels
 - B. Promote active participation in Energy Efficient programs and practices through the Metropolitan Partnership for Energy, AACOG, and other Green Building and Energy Efficiency Workshops
3. Act within your agency to promote clean air practices
 - A. Participation in Texas Emissions Reduction Program (TERP)
 - i. Programs which reduce NOx in on- and off-road vehicles (Emissions Reduction Incentive Grants Program, Heavy-Duty Motor Vehicle Purchase or Lease Incentive Program, Light-Duty Motor Vehicle Purchase or Lease Incentive Program). AACOG holds workshops; TCEQ provides training and support; and
 - ii. Programs that reduce NOx by reducing energy usage (Energy Efficiency Grants Program, Texas Building Energy Performance Standards, Energy Efficiency Programs in Certain Political Subdivisions). This is ongoing with the Metropolitan Partnership for Energy and AACOG's Energy Efficiency Workshops.
 - B. Use TxLED, alternative fuels or other clean fuels in agency fleets
 - C. Actively participate in Air Quality Health Alert programs
 - D. Let contracts for bid using Clean Contractor Preference clauses. The Clean Contractor Committee of the Texas Clean Air Working Group is now developing this language, which is intended for use in state contracts. This group comprises both contractors, purchasing managers and local government attorneys.

Response to II: We are required by the Early Action Compact to show attainment by 2007. We will show attainment if the three year average of the fourth-highest eight-hour average ozone reading at each monitor used for regulatory purposes is below 85 parts per billion (ppb), in judging 2005, 2006, and 2007 for the three years in question. If we do not show attainment -- if that average is 85 ppb or greater -- then, according to the Early Action Compact protocol (assuming we are declared nonattainment with the effective date deferred, as written in the EAC), the nonattainment declaration becomes effective immediately.

Response to III: Citizen input remains an important part of the planning process. The Early Action Compact has a built-in requirement for reopening the investigation of further control strategies if we continue to show high ozone levels on the local monitors.

Mr. Bella concluded by pointing to the quotes from the Early Action Compact supporting citizen participation also found on the handout.

Mr. Notzon asked Mr. Bella about local Texas Emission Reduction Program (TERP) award recipients. Mr. Bella replied that it was his understanding that Martin Marietta Materials, CPS, and VIA has been awarded under the TERP program on the order of seven million dollars worth of awards and knew that there were others that have applied and are also under current consideration. Chairman Millikin commented that it showed the environmental agencies that government, business, industry and individuals the area was serious about solving the clean air challenge.

Action Item: Resolution for Support of Additional Clean Air Strategies

Chairman Millikin called on Mark Langford who asked to speak on the action item.

Mark Langford with Citizens Organized for Good Science greeted the committee and said that before they started the time clock, he wanted to make certain they had copies of the committee by-laws, so that there wasn't any confusion on citizen speaking time.

Mr. Langford's verbatim statements were transcribed and are included at the end of these minutes.

Chairman Millikin asked Mr. Bella to discuss the resolution for low emission gas cans. Mr. Bella explained that the City of Houston, currently a one-hour ozone nonattainment area, is putting together a state implementation plan revision for their region to help them transition from one-hour to eight-hour nonattainment status. He said that as Steve Smeltzer had mentioned earlier, the emissions inventory that TCEQ has refined as part of the Houston SIP has been used in the AACOG photochemical model, giving us greater accuracy in our emissions inventory. One of the other aspects of the Houston SIP revision is the proposed implementation of a portable fuel container rule. The rule being proposed will set standards for design requirements to prevent overfills of receiving tanks and spills during transit. Mr. Bella told the Committee to refer to a two-page document entitled Resolution in support of Low Emission Gas Cans. He reminded the committee that during the last meeting of the Air Executive Committee it was one of the strategies the committee voted in favor of passing a resolution. Mr. Bella provided the language on the resolution for their consideration even though the language had yet to go to the Air Technical Committee.

Chairman Millikin said he would be reluctant for the Executive Committee to consider a resolution that hasn't yet gone through the AIR Technical Committee. He said they had yet to make a decision on any items that had not gone through the AIR Technical Committee first. Renee Green, Chairman of the AIR Technical Committee offered to consider the language during the next air technical committee meeting and have it as an action item for the March 24th meeting.

Chairman Millikin remarked that he knew Commissioner Marquez was looking for support in that regard but they still had another month to consider the matter.

Mayor Heath made the motion to refer the item to the Air Technical Committee for their recommendation and for consideration at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Air Executive Committee. Bill England seconded the motion. Chairman Millikin asked for discussion. There was none. Chairman Millikin called for the vote. The motion unanimously passed.

b.ii. Clean Air Strategy Update

Mr. Bella made reference to a three-page document prepared by staff containing updated information on the proposed clean air strategies that were approved by resolution by the eight local government signatories of the Clean Air Plan. Mr. Bella reiterated the upcoming discussion between Texas Oil and Gas Association, local fuel providers and some local elected officials. He said the first page and a half provided background information on the details concerning Lower RVP. He continued onto the second page and he asked them to look at the Degreasing Equipment Control Strategy details. He pointed to information on the page discussing what staff had found during the early part of the month from TCEQ staff in the permitting process. TCEQ had questions on existing state rules and how existing state rules would affect the requested proposed Chapter 115 rule, enacted here locally. Mr. Bella said that the bottom line is that the state will be moving forward with us on enactment of Chapter 115. As a result, there would be some decrease in the credit allowed to us and that credit that we now will be claiming comes through existing rules that the state discovered. Mr. Bella concluded that staff would be doing further follow up work with the state and the local TCEQ regional offices to uncover what further credit we can take for this strategy. Mr. Bella asked if there were any questions on any of the information contained in the document. There was none.

Chairman Millikin reminded everyone that TCEQ representative Megan Goode was listening to the meeting through a conference call if they had any questions.

b.iii. Letter from Margaret Hoffman, Executive Director, TCEQ

Mr. Notzon said they had been told they would be receiving a letter from the Executive Director at TCEQ but it had not received a letter yet. Meghan Goode representing TCEQ said the letter was currently being finalized and was forthcoming shortly. Chairman Millikin said they would move the item to the meeting of March 24th.

VII. AIR Technical Committee Recommendations

Action Items: for consideration and possible approval

Letter to TCEQ and EPA: CAMS 23 siting

Chairman Millikin acknowledged Mark Langford to speak on the Action Item.

Mr. Langford's verbatim statements were transcribed and are included at the end of these minutes.

Chairman Millikin reminded the committee that those in attendance during the January meeting know that TCEQ had discussed the CAMS 23 readings, which had been previously discussed by the committee for several months. Although TCEQ's response was understood, most of the members on the committee wanted to explore that further.

Mr. Mims remarked in regard to Mr. Langford's presentation, "any branches that are cut from the trees that are obviously violating the rules need to be saved so we can establish the length of those branches in the event that it doesn't impact our previous ozone values."

Chairman Millikin replied "that he would hope that once it was done it would be done astutely so that we are not playing games with the system. None of us want to do that."

Renee Green, Chairman of the Air Technical Committee informed the committee on the contents of the draft letters. These letters were to be sent to TCEQ Chairman White and EPA Regional Administrator Green requesting that they verify that the CAMS 23 site does

meet the siting criteria. Also if the site does not meet criteria, what impact does that have on the air quality data collected for 2001, 2002, and 2003? Mrs. Greene said the AIR Technical Committee recommended approval of the letter.

Chairman Millikin asked the Committee for a motion on this item. Mayor Raymond Ramirez motioned to approve the letter. Stanley Dabney seconded the motion. Chairman Millikin asked for discussion. There was none. The Chairman called for the vote. The item was unanimously passed.

Letter to TCEQ: Development of an action plan regarding transport issues

Renee Green explained the content of the letter requesting that TCEQ develop an action plan to address transport issues. She commented that the issue was very timely and also of tremendous concern in the Dallas / Fort Worth and Houston areas and had been discussed extensively at the Texas Clean Air Working Group in Austin. On behalf of the Air Technical Committee, she recommended approval of the letter.

Chairman Millikin pointed out that they were not putting the blame on the State and the Federal environmental agencies for all of the issues in the greater San Antonio Area. The area was certainly responsible for many of the issues; however transport issues had been discussed for the last couple of years and a reiteration of this concern to TCEQ was appropriate and the technical committee thought so as well.

Chairman Millikin asked for a motion on the item. Judge Donald Schraub made the motion to accept the letter regarding transport issue. Mayor Heath seconded the motion. Chairman Millikin asked for discussion. There was none. The motion passed unanimously.

Choice of Contractor for Operation and Maintenance of AACOG's Ozone and Meteorological Monitoring Network

Peter Bella gave a synopsis on the selection of the contract for AACOG's meteorological monitoring network using a three page hand out which was made available to the committee as part of their packets. Mr. Bella said he was asking for their approval of the contractor choice proposed by both the Ozone Monitoring Subcommittee of the Air Technical Committee and by the Air Technical Committee itself. He explained that the selection process started with an on-line auction on February 13th conducted by Orbis. Five different entities responded with proposals. Three of them were disqualified. The two qualified gave a verbal presentation to the Ozone Monitoring Subcommittee on February 18th. He said both companies were very qualified and had complete applications. Mr. Bella pointed to the final tally of comparative tasks and their costs. When all was said and done there was a \$21,000 difference between the two bids. He pointed to the average on the scoring given by the Ozone Monitoring Subcommittee. As a result Air Quality Solutions was chosen to execute the contract. The recommendation was made to the Air Technical by the ozone monitoring sub committee. The Air Technical Committee approved the recommendation. Renee Green made positive comments about the two qualified companies and their presentations and said that the final recommendation of Air Quality Solutions was a unanimous selection by both the sub committee and the full Air Technical Committee. She also mentioned that Air Quality Solutions had glowing recommendations from previous clients.

Chairman Millikin asked for a motion for approval of the recommended contractor. Bill England motioned for approval of the contractor. Mayor Meffert seconded the motion.

Chairman Millikin asked for discussion on the item. Chairman Millikin asked for questions on the motion. There were none. The motion passed unanimously.

Chairman Millikin thanked staff and the Ozone Monitoring Subcommittee on their work in this effort.

Mr. Notzon commented on the use of the reverse auction process to try to get the best result in terms on the proposals. He said they were able to get a wide range of prices on the final bids. He was very pleased with the results of the reverse auction process.

VIII. Other Issues:

Peter Bella reported that there was a Clean Air Through Energy Efficiency Workshop being sponsored by AACOG and the Texas Energy Partnership and presented everyone with a handout with all the specific information.

Mr. Mims asked to read one sentence from the Baylor Aircraft Study, January 2001. He read the following “ in San Antonio on average local sources contributed about forty percent (about 45 ppb) and regional background contributed about sixty percent (sixty ppb to eighty ppb) to the peak urban ozone concentration during three flights.” So that emphasizes the need for the regional transport study.

Mr. Mims also asked for clarification on who was allowed to vote on motions during the meeting. He was under the impression that, as a member of the Advisory Committee, he was not allowed to vote.

Chairman Millikin said that the eight elected officials were the only ones allowed to vote and the other three elected officials.

Mr. Mims noted that that was his impression but noticed there were persons voting beyond that.

Chairman Millikin said they were voting to show support with the rest of the committee.

Mr. Notzon said that Mr. Mims had raised a good issue in that the maker and the seconder of the motion should be members of the Executive Committee. He pointed out that in least one case that was not the case.

Mr. Mims replied that it would invalidate an action if a motion was made that was not authorized by the by-laws.

Chairman Millikin said there was a need to go back to validate the motions.

Bill England apologized and said there was a point to be made however because he had been on the committee since it started and he didn't ever remember that a member of the Advisory Committee could not make a motion or second a motion.

Mr. Notzon replied that in the formalizing of the by-laws there was an attempt to get more formality into the process. Mr. Notzon said the Advisory Committee was present to provide advice and have voice in any of the discussions and the representatives of the eight local governments elected members are in fact in charge of the responsibility of voting.

Mr. Notzon asked Mrs. Vaughan who had made the motions on each of the action items. On Item VII a. Mrs. Vaughan replied that Mayor Ramirez made the first motion and Stanley Dabney seconded the motion. Mr. Notzon said there would need to be a second motion made by an elected official. Mayor Meffert seconded the motion.

Chairman Millikin asked what the protocol would be. Mr. Notzon replied they needed a request for reconsideration for items VII a. and b. as a motion. Chairman Millikin asked if there was a motion to reconsider the vote for action items VII a and b. Mayor Raymond Ramirez made the motion for reconsideration of the vote. Mayor Marcy Meffert seconded the motion. Chairman Millikin asked for the vote. The motion passed.

Chairman Millikin asked for a motion by an elected official for action items VII a and b. Mayor Ramirez moved for approval of the motion. Mayor Marcy Meffert seconded the motion. Chairman Millikin asked for the vote. Motion passed unanimously for action item VII a and b.

Mr. Notzon pointed out that the same protocol would need to be made for Item VII c. Mayor Meffert motioned to reconsider Item VII c. Mayor Heath seconded the motion. Mr. Notzon asked Mrs. Vaughan who had made the motion on VII c. Mrs. Vaughan replied that Judge Schraub and Mayor Heath had made the motion. Chairman Millikin cancelled the need for a motion on item VII c.

IX. Adjournment

With no further business to conduct the meeting was adjourned.

Attachments: Verbatim Transcription of Comments/Statements Made by Citizens to be Heard:

Citizen #1: Mark Langford

In regards to the action item I find it interesting that San Antonio wants you to find additional strategies when I have yet to see the mayor or any council members attend an air executive or advisory meeting. I am just concurring with what was spoken earlier. I think that if the City of San Antonio wants us to do more they need to have better representation at these meetings. On one hand San Antonio wants us to look for more ways to reduce pollution. But on the other hand they are marching full steam ahead on the construction of a third coal fire plant without first researching whether the existing plants are negatively impacting our ozone readings during high ozone episodes. I strongly urge this committee to demand that CPS install sulfur dioxide monitors on CAMS23, 58, and 301 in Selma before May so that our citizens can know for sure whether the CPS coal plume is inflating ozone monitors on the north side of San Antonio. I know Mr. Mims had done a lot of research on this and if you have questions on why sulfur dioxide monitors are important in all this, he can explain to all of you. Thank you very much.

Citizen #2: Mark Langford

Citizens Organized for Good Science simply wants to recommend the letter asking TCEQ to investigate CAMS 23. A tree growing too close to a CAMS site may or may not impact our readings but with so much at stake it would be foolish not to make sure that CAMS 23 is performing perfectly and within EPA guidelines. And as we've discussed earlier if this tree is too close and we can measure how many years back it is from my perspective any of the readings from that point on should really not be allowed. I know that you said in the past they have been but it is not within EPA guidelines those readings should be thrown out. Thank you very much. Oh, may I also just talk about recommending the letter supporting an action plan for TCEQ to negotiate with other states and countries in regards to air transportation issues. Thank you very much.